

Date: 09/07/2024

Sr. No. 7

**BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE
REGULATORY AUTHORITY, NAGPUR**


**Video Conferencing Hearing as per MahaRERA
Order No: 593/2023 Held by
Shri. Sanjay Bhimanwar, Dy. Secretary**

SUO MOTU ADVERTISEMENT CASE NO. OF 2024	PROJECT NO.	NAME OF PROMOTER	PROJECT NAME
37	P50300024763	Amber Builders and Developers	Amber Park

ROZNAMA

Appearance: **Absent**

The promoter remained absent even though the notice of hearing and the link for the hearing was duly served upon the promoter. In compliance with the principle of natural justice, the matter stands adjourned to the next date of the hearing. The next date of the hearing will be informed to the Promoter in due course.


(Sanjay Bhimanwar)
Dy. Secretary
MahaRERA, Nagpur

Date: 05/09/2024

Sr. No. 7

**BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE
REGULATORY AUTHORITY, NAGPUR**

**Video Conferencing Hearing as per MahaRERA
Order No: 593/2023 Held by
Shri. Sanjay Bhimanwar, Dy. Secretary**


SUO MOTU ADVERTISEMENT CASE NO. OF 2024	PROJECT NO.	NAME OF PROMOTER	PROJECT NAME
37	P50300024763	Amber Builders and Developers	Amber Park

ROZNAMA

Appearance: Mr. Rahul Chaddha

Heard the argument of the Promoter.

After hearing the submission of the Promoter, the Promoter is directed to file a written reply within 07 days. With these directions, the proceedings are adjourned to the next date of the hearing. The next date of the hearing will be informed to the Promoter in due course.


(Sanjay Bhimanwar)
**Dy. Secretary
MahaRERA, Nagpur**

Date: 22/10/2024

Sr. No. 7

**BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE
REGULATORY AUTHORITY, NAGPUR**

**Video Conferencing Hearing as per MahaRERA
Order No: 593/2023 Held by
Shri. Sanjay Bhimanwar, Dy. Secretary**

SUO MOTU ADVERTISEMENT CASE NO. OF 2024	PROJECT NO.	NAME OF PROMOTER	PROJECT NAME
37	P50300024763	Amber Builders and Developers	Amber Park


ROZNAMA

Appearance: CA Manish Kushlani

Heard the argument of the Promoter.

After hearing the submission of the Promoter, it has been considered that there is no violation of MahaRERA regulation.

In view of the above, the present matter stands closed for order.


(Sanjay Bhimanwar)
Dy. Secretary
MahaRERA, Nagpur